



CF0000057442

APPEAL OF PRISONER

No. 2556Name श्री ५५५५Father's Name श्री ५५५५Residence श्री ५५५५Age ४५ वर्षSentenced to श्री ५५५५on ९-१२-८९Under section ३०२ I.P.C. by श्री ५५५५S.T.N. १४५/८९

It is explained to the prisoner that if he states or wishes to be represented by a legal practitioner the Appellate Court will not proceed with the case for seven days unless the legal practitioner appears. If the legal practitioner does not appear within seven days he may be heard at all if the prisoner states that he does not wish to be represented by legal practitioner the court may proceed at once with the case and will not be obliged to give a hearing to any legal practitioner who should appear.

1. Date of application for copy of Judgement : No
2. Date of which copy received : ९-१२-८९
3. Date on which Appeal sent : १५-१२-८९
4. Whether the prisoner wished to be represented or not : Yes ☒ No ☐

No. 2556Name श्री ५५५५Continued in १२८/८९Jail JAGDALPURNo. २९१/८९dated १५/१२/८९

Forwarded to the CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE

to gather with a copy of judgement or order passed in the case for favour of transmission to the proper Appellate Court.

Superintendent-Central/Distt./

Sub Jail

Date of receipt in C.J.M.'s Office

१९-१२-८९

Date of receipt of record to accompany

Memo of Appeal of the Appellate Court

No. _____

Dated १९-१२-८९

Forwarded to the

Sessing Judge Jagdalpur.

C.J. Magistrate

Date of receipt in Appellate court _____

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ... Cr. Appeal no 63/10 ... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>D.B. Hon'ble Shri L.C.Bhadoo,</u> <u>Hon'ble Shri Dilip R. Deshmukh, JJ.</u> <u>23.06.2005</u> Shri H.B. Agrawal, Counsel on behalf of the accused/appellant. Shri U.N.S. Deo, G.A. for the State. At the outset, learned Govt. Advocate for the State informed the Court he is in receipt of communication by the Superintendent, Central Jail, Jagdalpur that the accused has already been released on 18-12-2002 on the occasion of Guru Ghasidas Jayanti. He placed the Xerox of communication received from the Superintendent, Central Jail, Jagdalpur dated 21-06-2005. In view of the above facts, it appears that the learned Counsel for the accused/appellant does not want to prosecute this appeal, therefore, this appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.	
	Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	Sd/- Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh Judge